WAYNE STATE
College of Engineering

Computer Science

# Ph.D. QUALIFYING EXAM GRADING REPORT

Student: _____ Date of Presentation: _____

Advisor: _____ Major Area: _____

Written project was: (click one box per row)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Well organized | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Disorganized |
| Recognizes key research issues | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Little insight |
| Representative bibliography | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Scant coverage |
| Technically correct | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Technical errors |
| Grammatically correct | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Grammatical errors |

Comments on written project:

_____

_____

_____

_____

The student's oral presentation was: (click one box per row)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Presentation well prepared | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Poorly prepared |
| Logically organized | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Disorganized |
| Ideas cohesively integrated | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Fragmented |
| Authoritative and professional | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Sloppy |
| Time management excellent | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Poor |

The student's ability to answer questions on the project was: (click one box per row)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Exhibited comprehensive expertise | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Minimal expertise |
| Used references/examples well | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Not effectively used |
| Made convincing arguments | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Not convincing |

Comments on oral presentation: _____

_____

_____

_____

Recommendation: Pass _____ Fail _____

Evaluator's Name _____ Signature: _____

Evaluator's relationship to student:
Student's Advisor ____ Faculty Committee Member ____ Graduate Committee Repres ____